

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,790	01/22/2001	Brian C. Ford	935	8355
7590 05/06/2004			EXAMINER	
Breed Technologies, Inc.			KIM, EUGENE LEE	
7000 Nineteen Mile Road Sterling Heights, MI 48314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3721		

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/766,790	FORD, BRIAN C.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eugene L Kim	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leads on the context of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment			
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	·				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12,17-15					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		Cyre R			
		v			

Eugene L Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3721

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: examiner does not interpret element 30 to be a piston in figs 2A-2C. A piston is a reciprocating cylinder that reciprocates within a sleeve. Therefore, piston 10 in figs 2A-2C is reciprocating within sleeve 30 which reads on a single cylinder as claimed.